

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/030,868	KORCHEV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>after final</u>	
(1) <u>Susan Hanley</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Doran Pace</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>22 March 2005</u>		Time: <u>4:00</u>	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>none</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>18-33, 35-50, and 52-54</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>none</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Ex. Hanley informed Mr. Pace that the arguments filed 2/16/05 overcome the outstanding rejections. Mr. Pace agreed to an Examiner's amendment that reflects the need for the electrodes to be in contact with an electrolytic solution.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<hr style="border: 0.5px solid black; margin-bottom: 5px;"/> (Examiner/SPE Signature)		<hr style="border: 0.5px solid black; margin-bottom: 5px;"/> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	